



:::: DISCLAIMER :::

The following document was uploaded by [ballotpedia.org](http://ballotpedia.org) staff with the written permission of the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research for non-commercial use only. It is not intended for redistribution.

For information on rights and usage of this file, please contact:

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

P.O. Box 1248

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

[www.icpsr.umich.edu](http://www.icpsr.umich.edu)

For general information on rights and usage of

Ballotpedia content, please contact:

[editor@ballotpedia.org](mailto:editor@ballotpedia.org)



**ICPSR**  
**Inter-university Consortium for**  
**Political and Social Research**

---

## Referenda and Primary Election Materials

---

### Part 14: Referenda Elections for Ohio

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

ICPSR 0006

This document was previously available in paper format only. It was converted to Portable Document Format (PDF), with no manual editing, on the date below as part of ICPSR's electronic document conversion project. The document may not be completely searchable. No additional updating of this collection has been performed (pagination, missing pages, etc.).

June 2002



---

## Referenda and Primary Election Materials

---

### Part 14: Referenda Elections for Ohio

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research

ICPSR 0006



REFERENDA AND PRIMARY ELECTION MATERIALS  
(ICPSR 0006)

Principal Investigator  
Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research  
P.O. Box 1248  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106



## BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research. REFERENDA AND PRIMARY ELECTION MATERIALS [Computer file]. ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [producer and distributor], 1994.

## REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

## DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.



## DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research  
REFERENDA AND PRIMARY ELECTION MATERIALS (ICPSR 0006)

**COLLECTION CHANGES:** Data for 1990 have been added to this collection, and SAS data definition statements (Parts 51-100) are now available for Parts 1-50.

**NOTE:** Most of the information in this collection is available ONLY in typed and photocopied form. The exception is data from 1968 to 1990. ICPSR has converted all statewide referenda returns from 1968-1990 into machine-readable form. Included in this portion of the collection are the county vote breakdowns for approximately 4,241 referenda voted upon in primary and general elections throughout the United States. A typed hardcopy codebook accompanies each state file. The primary election data are NOT available in machine-readable form. It is possible to supply, on a cost basis, limited portions of the referenda and primary election returns in the form of photocopies.

**EXTENT OF COLLECTION:** 50 data files + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements

**EXTENT OF PROCESSING:** CONCHK.ICPSR/ UNDOCCHK.ICPSR/ MDATA.ICPSR

**DATA FORMAT:** Logical Record Length with SAS and SPSS data definition statements

Parts 1-50: Referenda Elections  
for States .

File Structure: rectangular

Cases: 3 to 254 per part

Variables: 13 to 1,251 per part

Record Length: 85 to 7,919

per part

Records Per Case: 1

Parts 51-100: SAS Data  
Definition Statements

Record Length: 80



REFERENDA

Var. #

Description

1  
2  
3

ICPSR State Code 24

County or State Name

Identification Number

Unique numeric identification number assigned to each county or independent city within a state. The identification number for state-level records is 0000. This identification number, when used in conjunction with the ICPSR state code, uniquely identifies each unit of analysis in the data file.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

1967    Referendum

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 7, 1967

Yes = 1,315,736; No = 908,010

1: Apportionment of House and Senate

4

Vote Yes (For)

5

Vote No (Against)

State OhioREFERENDUMVar. #Description1967 ReferendumConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 2, 1967Yes = 508,364; No = 1,022,0781: Ohio Bond CommissionVote Yes (For)Vote No (Against)1967 ReferendumConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 2, 1967Yes = 699,021; No = 850,0682: Apportionment of House and SenateVote Yes (For)Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1968 ReferendumConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1968Yes = 1,732,512; No = 1,550,959

Issue 1: Proposed Constitutional Amendment to  
Authorize the Issuance of Bonds or Other Obligations  
For Highways and For Other Public Capital Improve-  
ments in Ohio. Shall Article VIII of the Ohio  
Constitution be amended by adding Section 21 to

(see next page)

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

10

11

1968 ReferendumConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1968Yes = 1,382,016; No = 1,825,615

Issue 2: Proposed Constitutional Amendment to Per-  
mit the General Assembly to Grant Homestead Exemp-  
tions For Certain Residents. Shall Section 2 of  
Article XII of the Constitution of the State of Ohio  
be amended to allow that the General Assembly may

(see next page)

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

12

13

Issue 1: (continued) - authorize \$500,000,000 additional obligations, including bonds and notes, for highways and research for highways and highway transportation; and \$259,000,000 for other capital improvements, of which \$120,000,000 is for water pollution control and water management; \$100,000,000 for higher education, technical and vocational education and juvenile correction, training, and rehabilitation; \$20,000,000 for parks and recreation; and \$19,000,000 for airports and other state buildings and structures, including those for police and fire training?

Issue 2: (continued) - provide by law for a homestead exemption? The qualifications and conditions for such exemption shall be set by the General Assembly subject to the limitation that an owner of a homestead shall be a resident of the State of Ohio, shall be sixty-five years of age or older, and shall reside in the homestead. The General Assembly may also provide by law for a homestead exemption for all residents of Ohio who own and reside in the homestead, the amount of such exemption to be determined by or in accordance with law.

Source: State of Ohio, Statement of Vote, General Election, November 5, 1968,  
Compiled by Ted W. Brown, Secretary of State (Mimeograph copy)

State OhioREFERENDUMVar. #Description1968 ReferendumConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 7, 1968Yes = 847,861; No = 695,368

1: Proposed Constitutional Amendment. Disposition of  
School and Ministerial Funds. Shall Section 1 of  
Article VI of the constitution of the State of Ohio  
be amended to provide that the principal of all  
funds, arising from the sale, or other disposition

14

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

15

Vote No (Against)

1968 ReferendumConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 7, 1968Yes = 1,020,500; No = 487,938

2: Proposed Constitutional Amendment. Filling  
Vacancies in the House of Representatives of the  
General Assembly of Ohio. Shall Section 11 of  
Article II of the Constitution of the State of Ohio  
be amended to provide a method of appointment for

16

Vote Yes (For) (see next page)

17

Vote No (Against)

No. 1: (continued) - of lands, or other property, granted or entrusted to this state for educational and religious purposes, be used or disposed of in such manner as the General Assembly shall prescribe by law?

No. 2: (continued) - filling vacancies in the House of Representatives as is now provided for filling vacancies in the Senate?

Source: State of Ohio, Statement of Vote, Primary Election, May 7, 1968,  
Compiled by Ted W. Brown, Secretary of State (mimeograph copy)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1968 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentPrimary Election, May 7, 1968Yes = 925,481; No = 556,5303: Proposed Constitutional Amendment. Administra-  
tion and Organization of Ohio Judicial System.Shall the constitution of the State of Ohio be  
amended by amending Sections 1 and 2, enacting  
Sections 3,4,5, and 6 and repealing existing sections  
(see next page)

18

Vote Yes (For)

19

Vote No (Against)

1969 ReferendaGeneral Election, November 4, 1969Number of Precincts

20

Number of Precincts

## Ohio

3: (continued) 3,4,6,7,8,10,12 and 14 of Article IV and by repealing Sections 12 and 13 of Article 11 as adopted in 1851 to provide that the Supreme Court shall decide all cases by majority vote, to fix the power of the Supreme Court of Ohio to exercise administrative supervision over all courts and to make rules of practice and procedure, to prohibit the election or appointment to any judicial office of a person who shall have passed the age of 70 years, to equalize judges' salaries and to allow increases in compensation during term, to remove the Probate court as a constitutional court and to authorize the consolidation of county probate courts and courts of common pleas?

Source I: State of Ohio, Statement of Vote, Primary Election, May 7, 1968,  
Compiled by Ted W. Brown, Secretary of State (mimeograph copy)

Source II: State of Ohio, Statement of Vote, General Election, November 7, 1967,  
Compiled by Ted W. Brown (mimeograph copy)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1969

Referenda

Constitutional AmendmentsGeneral Election, November 4, 1969Yes = 1,226,592; No = 1,274,334Constitutional Amendment No. 1To lower the voting age from 21 to 19 years.

21

Vote Yes (For)

22

Vote No (Against)

1969

Referenda

Constitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 4, 1969Yes = 1,432,960; No = 795,813Constitutional Amendment No. 2:To provide for the elimination of the short term election  
of State Officers to fill a vacancy, where the  
remainder of the term is less than one year.

23

Vote Yes (For)

24

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 2,115,557; No = 711,761

Proposed Amendment: To per mit enactment of laws  
reducing the valuation of the homestead and taxes for  
residents sixty-five years of age and over.

25

Vote Yes (For)

26

Vote No (Against)

1970 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 3, 1970Yes = 1,702,600; No = 1,073,058

Proposed Amendment: To reduce the voter residency  
requirement from one year to six months.

27

Vote Yes (For)

28

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

REFERENDA

Var. #

Description

1970 Referenda  
Constitutional Amendment  
General Election, November 3, 1970  
Yes = 1,326,818; No = 1,212,814

Proposed Amendment: To allow newspaper advertising  
in lieu of mailed notice of proposed municipal  
charter amendments.

29

Vote Yes (For)

30

Vote No (Against)

Referenda

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 ReferendaPublic QuestionGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 1,291,267; No = 2,142,534Question: Shall there be a convention to  
revise, alter or amend the Constitution?

31

Vote Yes (For)

32

Vote No (Against)

1972 ReferendaConstitutional InitiativeGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Yes = 1,164,653; No = 2,571,516Initiative: Conditions for any prohibitions upon  
the levy of a tax on income, except a municipal  
income tax, or increasing the rates thereof,  
without the approval of a majority of the voting  
electors.

33

Vote Yes (For)

34

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1972 ReferendaGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Total Number Electors: 4,219,645Total Number of Electors Voting in GeneralElection, November 7, 1972

Total Vote

1972 ReferendaGeneral Election, November 7, 1972Number of Precincts: 12,613Number of Precincts in General Election,November 7, 1972

Number of Precincts

35

36

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1973 ReferendaNumber of PrecinctsSpecial Election, May 8, 1973Number of Precincts=12,615Total number of precincts.

37

Total Precincts1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 8, 1973Yes=973,956; No=547,655Constitutional Amendment No.1:

Proposing to amend Section 6 of  
 Article XV of the Constitution of  
 the State of Ohio to authorize the  
 state to conduct lotteries, the net  
 proceeds of which shall be paid  
 into the general revenue fund of  
 the state.

38

Vote Yes (For)

39

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 8, 1973Yes=405,035; No=993,245Constitutional Amendment No. 2:

Proposing to amend section 19 of  
Article I of the Constitution of  
the State of Ohio to permit the  
obtaining of possession before  
payment in the taking of property  
for water and sewerage purposes.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 8, 1973Yes=530,232; No=848,743Constitutional Amendment No. 3:

Proposing to repeal section 5 of  
Article II of the Constitution of  
the State of Ohio relative to dis-  
qualification from holding public  
office.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 8, 1973Yes=563,919; No=692,623Constitutional Amendment No. 4:

Proposing to repeal section 22 of  
Article IV of the Constitution of  
the State of Ohio relative to a Su-  
preme Court Commission.

44

Vote Yes (For)

45

Vote No (Against)

1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 8, 1973Yes=580,106; No=679,223Constitutional Amendment No. 5:

Proposing to amend section 5  
of Article IV of the Constitution  
of Ohio, relative to the powers  
and compensation of judges.

46

Vote Yes (For)

47

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 8, 1973Yes=646,384; No=677,787Constitutional Amendment No. 5A:

Proposing to amend section 6 of Article IV  
of the Constitution of Ohio, relative to the powers  
and compensation of judges.

48

Vote Yes (For)

49

Vote No (Against)

1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentSpecial Election, May 8, 1973Yes=680,870; No=572,980Constitutional Amendment No. 6:

Proposing to amend sections 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 14, and 16 of Article II, to enact new  
sections 8 and 15 of Article II, and to repeal sections 8, 15, 17, 18, 19, and  
25 of Article II of the Constitution of the State of Ohio, relative to the admin-  
istration, organization, and procedures of the General Assembly.

50

Vote Yes (For)

51

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1973 ReferendaElectors VotingSpecial Election, May 8, 1974Electors Voting=1,577,082Total number of electors voting.

52

Total Electors

1973 ReferendaNumber of PrecinctsGeneral Election, November 6, 1973Number of Precincts=12,665Total number of precincts.

53

Total Precincts

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 6, 1973Yes=1,810,630; No=567,189Constitutional Amendment No. 1:

Proposing to amend section 38 of  
Article II of the Constitution of  
the State of Ohio to authorize  
agricultural land to be valued for  
taxation in accordance with its  
current use.

54

Vote Yes (For)

55

Vote No (Against)

1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 6, 1973Yes=1,299,882; No=883,123Constitutional Amendment No. 2:

Proposing to amend section 8 of  
Article XII of the Constitution of  
the State of Ohio relative to the  
determination of the amount of  
income exempt from taxation.

56

Vote Yes (For)

57

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 6, 1973Yes=1,317,379; No=778,466Constitutional Amendment No. 3:

Proposing to amend sections 1, 4, 5,  
and 6 of Article IV of the Consti-  
tution of the State of Ohio, rela-  
tive to administration and organi-  
zation of the courts of Ohio.

58

Vote Yes (For)

59

Vote No (Against)

1973 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 6, 1973Yes=1,650,120; No=647,629Constitutional Amendment No. 4:

Proposing to adopt section 2j of  
Article VIII of the Constitution of  
the state of Ohio to provide for  
compensation for military service  
during the period of the Vietnam  
conflict through the proceeds from  
the sale of state general obliga-  
tion bonds.

60

Vote Yes (For)

61

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1973 ReferendaElectors VotingGeneral Election, November 6, 1974Electors Voting=2,623,826Total number of electors voting.Total Electors

62

ReferendaVote Yes (For)Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 2,266,355; No = 492,813

Amendment No. 1: To permit laws reducing real  
estate taxes on the homesteads of permanently and  
totally disabled residents.

63

Vote Yes (For)

64

Vote No (Against)

1974 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 5, 1974Yes = 1,463,408; No = 995,748

Amendment No. 2: To repeal Section 12 of  
Article VIII of the Ohio constitution, which requires  
the governor to appoint a superintendent of public  
works for a one-year term.

65

Vote Yes (For)

66

Vote No (Against)

## Var. #

### Description

1974 Referenda

## Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 5, 1974

Yes = 1,613,444; No = 882,778

Amendment No. 3: **PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT**

**Article VIII, Section 13**

**-3**

TO INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING AMONG THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH PUBLIC INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS MAY BE ISSUED AND LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAMS UNDERTAKEN, WITHOUT OBLIGATING OR PLEDGING MONEYS RAISED BY TAXATION:

1. The Preservation of Existing Jobs,
2. The Control of Air, Water and Thermal Pollution,
3. The Disposal of Solid Waste, and
4. The Construction of Electric and Gas Utility Service Facilities for Pollution Control or Solid Waste Disposal.

67

Vote Yes (For)

68

Vote No (Against)

## Referenda

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1975 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 4, 1975Yes = 1,014,425; No = 1539,423Constitutional Amendment No. 1:**1** PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT  
To adopt section 36a of Article II, Ohio ConstitutionTO AUTHORIZE LAWS TO BE PASSED  
WHICH PERMIT LAND THAT IS DEVOTED  
EXCLUSIVELY TO OUTDOOR RECREATION  
TO BE VALUED FOR TAX PURPOSES AT ITS  
CURRENT VALUE FOR RECREATIONAL  
USE, EXCEPT LAND WHICH IS UTILIZED  
AND CONTROLLED OR OWNED BY AN OR-  
GANIZATION OR PERSON WHO DISCRIM-  
INATES AGAINST OR VIOLATES THE CIVIL  
RIGHTS OF INDIVIDUALS.

69

Vote Yes (For)

70

Vote No (Against)

1975 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 4, 1975Yes = 573,874; No = 164,957Constitutional Amendment No. 2:**2** PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT  
To adopt section 13 of Article XII, Ohio ConstitutionTO CREATE AND PRESERVE JOBS BY THE  
AUTHORIZATION OF TAX INCENTIVES TO  
INDUSTRIAL PLANTS.

71

Vote Yes (For)

72

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1975 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 4, 1975Yes = 439,041; No = 2,304,854Amendment No. 3:

**TO AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS AND NOTES IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED ONE BILLION SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,750,000,000) TO BE PAID FROM AN ADDITIONAL LEVY OF NINE-TENTHS (9/10) OF ONE CENT (1¢) PER GALLON GASOLINE TAX, COMMENCING APRIL 1, 1976, AND FROM OTHER HIGHWAY FUNDS AND THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND IF THE ADDITIONAL GASOLINE TAX MONEYS ARE INSUFFICIENT, FOR THE DEVELOPMENT, RESTORATION, AND UPGRADING OF HIGHWAYS AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES.**

73

Vote Yes (For)

74

Vote No (Against)

1975 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 4, 1975Yes = 869,437; No = 1,882,454Amendment No. 4:

**4 PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT**  
To adopt section 14 of Article VIII, Ohio Constitution

**RELATIVE TO THE AUTHORITY OF THE STATE, MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS AND COUNTIES TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE WITH RESPECT TO HOUSING AND NURSING, EXTENDED CARE AND OTHER HEALTH FACILITIES.**

75

Vote Yes (For)

76

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1975 Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 4, 1975Yes = 487,326; No = 2,219,093Amendment No. 5:

**TO AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS AND NOTES IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED TWO BILLION SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY MILLION DOLLARS (\$2,750,000,000), WITH THE PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST TO BE PAID BY AN ADDITIONAL LEVY OF SEVEN-TENTHS (7/10) OF ONE (1) PER CENT SALES AND USE TAX COMMENCING JULY 1, 1976, AND FROM THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND, IF SUCH ADDITIONAL TAX MONEYS ARE INSUFFICIENT, FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR CITIES, VILLAGES, COUNTIES, AND OTHER SUBDIVISIONS AND FOR OTHER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDING ENERGY FACILITIES AND HEART AND CANCER FACILITIES.**

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

77

78

1975 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 4, 1975Yes = 1,619,219; No = 915,599Amendment No. 6:

**TO REQUIRE THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO PROVIDE BY LAW METHODS TO GIVE EACH CANDIDATE'S NAME REASONABLY EQUAL TREATMENT ON THE BALLOT BY ROTATION OR OTHER METHODS APPROPRIATE TO THE VOTING PROCEDURE USED.**

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

79

80

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1975 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 4, 1975Yes = 1,653,931; No = 906,156Amendment No. 7:

To require delegates to National Conventions of  
political parties to be chosen by the voters in  
a manner provided by law.

81

Vote Yes (For)

82

Vote No (Against)

1975 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 4, 1975Yes = 871,726; No = 1,614,597Amendment No. 8:

**TO PERMIT THE STATE, OR ANY CITY, VILLAGE, COUNTY, TOWNSHIP OR REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY TO GIVE OR LOAN ITS CREDIT TO AID FEDERAL CORPORATIONS AND STATE AGENCIES FOR REORGANIZATION OF RAIL SYSTEMS IN THE STATE. LAWS MAY BE PASSED TO REDUCE THE TAXATION ON PROPERTY USED TO PROVIDE THE STATE WITH RAIL TRANSPORTATION SERVICE AND TO PERMIT THE STATE TO REIMBURSE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FOR REVENUE LOST AS A RESULT OF SUCH TAX REDUCTIONS. MONEYS RAISED BY TAXATION SHALL NOT BE OBLIGATED OR PLEDGED FOR THE PAYMENT OF BONDS OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION.**

83

Vote Yes (For)

84

Vote No (Against)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1975 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 4, 1975Yes = 1,405,453; No = 1,205,009Amendment No. 9:

**TO PERMIT THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
TO AUTHORIZE AND REGULATE THE OP-  
ERATION OF BINGO CONDUCTED BY  
CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS FOR CHAR-  
ITABLE PURPOSES.**

85

Vote Yes (For)

86

Vote No (Against)

1976 ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 2, 1976Yes = 2,388,184 No = 1,084,967Amendment No. 1:**1****PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT**

To repeal Sections 15 and 17 of Article III, and to adopt  
new Sections 15, 16, and 17 of Article III, Ohio Constitution

1. TO PROVIDE FOR AND CLARIFY SUCCESSION TO THE GOVERNORSHIP WHEN THE GOVERNOR CAN NO LONGER SERVE,
2. TO PROVIDE THAT THE OHIO SUPREME COURT, UPON RESOLUTION OF TWO-THIRDS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, HAS COMPLETE JURISDICTION TO DETERMINE DISABILITY OF THE GOVERNOR OR GOVERNOR-ELECT,
3. TO PROVIDE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR WHEN BOTH OFFICES ARE VACATED DURING THE FIRST TWENTY MONTHS OF THE TERM, AND

**TO PROVIDE FOR SUCCESSION WHEN THE GOVERNOR-ELECT  
IS UNABLE TO TAKE THE OFFICE.**

(Proposed by Resolution of the General Assembly of Ohio)

A majority affirmative vote is necessary for passage.

87

Vote Yes (For)

88

Vote No (Against)

89

Total Votes

90

Vote Yes (Percent)

91

Vote No (percent)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
		<u>1976</u>	Referenda
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 2,284,178 No = 1,129,165</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 2: To repeal Sections 2, 5 and 8 of</u>
			<u>Article XV, Ohio Constitution to eliminate from the</u>
			<u>Constitution obsolete and unnecessary provisions re-</u>
			<u>garding state printing, stationary, and supplies;</u>
			<u>duelists holding public office; and a Bureau of</u>
			<u>Statistics in the Secretary of State's Office</u>
92			Vote Yes (For)
93			Vote No (Against)
94			Total Votes
95			Vote Yes (Percent)
96			Vote No (Percent)
		<u>1976</u>	Referenda
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 2,297,422 No = 1,103,822</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 3: To amend Section of Article III and to</u>
			<u>repeal Section 4 of Article III, Ohio Constitution, to</u>
			<u>require the declaration of election results for state</u>
			<u>executive officers at the next regular session of the</u>
			<u>General Assembly, thereby preventing the possibility of</u>
			<u>a special session of the outgoing General Assembly being</u>
			<u>called for that purpose, and to remove obsolete language</u>
			<u>from the Constitution.</u>
97			Vote Yes (For)
98			Vote No (Against)
99			Total Votes
100			Votes Yes (Percent)
101			Votes No (Percent)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 1,247,388 No = 2,334,816</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 4: Relative to limiting the rates</u>
			<u>which may be charged to residential consumers for</u>
			<u>fixed amounts of gas and electricity.</u>
102			Vote Yes (For)
103			Vote No (Against)
104			Total Votes
105			Vote Yes (Percent)
106			Vote No (Percent)
			<u>1976 Referenda</u>
			<u>Constitutional Amendment</u>
			<u>General Election, November 2, 1976</u>
			<u>Yes = 1,092,781 No = 2,557,265</u>
			<u>Amendment No. 5: Relative to providing for represen-</u>
			<u>tation of residential utility consumers in utility</u>
			<u>regulatory actions affecting their interests.</u>
107			Vote Yes (For)
108			Vote No (Against)
109			Total Votes
110			Votes Yes (Percent)
111			Votes No (Percent)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

<u>Var. #</u>	<u>Deck #</u>	<u>Cols. #</u>	<u>Description</u>
---------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

1976 Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 2, 1976

Yes = 1,150,360 No = 2,462,000

Amendment No. 6: Relative to establishing procedures for legislative hearings and approval of safety features of nuclear power plants and related facilities prior to their construction or expansion and procedures for compensation of persons who are injured or damaged by their operation or existence.

112

Vote Yes (For)

113

Vote No (Against)

114

Total Votes

115

Vote Yes (Percent)

116

Vote No (Percent)

1976 Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 2, 1976

Yes = 1,175,410 No = 2,407,960

Amendment No. 7: To adopt new Section 1 of Article II relative to simplifying the procedures for initiative and referendum.

117

Vote Yes (For)

118

Vote No (Against)

119

Total Votes

120

Votes Yes (Percent)

121

Votes No (Percent)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #    Deck #    Cols. #Description1977    Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 1977

Yes = 1,964,361    No = 1,225,852

**1    PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT**To amend Section 1 of Article V of the  
Constitution of Ohio

**TO PROVIDE THAT A PERSON IS ENTITLED TO VOTE AT ALL ELECTIONS IF HE HAS BEEN REGISTERED TO VOTE FOR THIRTY DAYS AND HAS THE OTHER QUALIFICATIONS OF AN ELECTOR, AND TO PROVIDE THAT A PERSON WHO IS REGISTERED AND FAILS TO VOTE IN AT LEAST ONE ELECTION DURING ANY PERIOD OF FOUR CONSECUTIVE YEARS MUST REGISTER AGAIN BEFORE BEING ENTITLED TO VOTE.**

122

Vote Yes (For)

123

Vote No (Against)

124

Total Votes

125

Vote Yes (Percent)

126

Vote No (Percent)

1977    Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

General Election, November 1977

Yes = 1,169,068    No = 2,027,642

**2**

- 1. TO PROHIBIT ANY PERSON FROM USING IN THIS STATE LEGHOLD TRAPS IN THE TRAPPING OF WILD BIRDS OR WILD FOUR-LEGGED ANIMALS (QUADRUPEDS) AND ALSO TO PROHIBIT ANY PERSON FROM USING ANY TRAPPING DEVICE IN A MANNER WHICH WILL CAUSE CONTINUED, PROLONGED SUFFERING TO SUCH BIRDS OR ANIMALS;**
- 2. TO PROVIDE THAT EACH SEPARATE VIOLATION OF THIS AMENDMENT IS A CRIME; AND**
- 3. TO PROVIDE THAT ANY PERSON MAY BRING A CIVIL ACTION FOR AN INJUNCTION TO STOP A VIOLATION AND TO RECOVER COSTS AND ATTORNEYS FEES.**

127

Vote Yes (For)

128

Vote No (Against)

129

Total Votes

130

Votes Yes (Percent)

131

Votes No (Percent)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #    Deck #    Cols. #Description1977    ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 1977Yes = 1,120,885    No = 1,773,779Proposition No. 3:

1. TO AUTHORIZE THE STATE TO LEND ITS AID AND CREDIT TO INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS, COMPANIES, OR CORPORATIONS, TO BORROW MONEY, AND ISSUE BONDS OR NOTES TO PROVIDE FOR HOUSING AND THE REHABILITATION OF HOUSING, AND TO MAKE DIRECT LOANS FOR LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING.
2. TO AUTHORIZE MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS AND COUNTIES, IN THE ABSENCE OF LAWS PASSED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO THE CONTRARY, TO DO THE SAME AS PARAGRAPH 1 ABOVE.
3. TO VALIDATE AND RATIFY THE BOND AUTHORITY OF SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 870 OF THE 110TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Vote Yes (Percent)

Vote No (Percent)

1977    ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 1977Yes = 759,327    No = 1,999,791Proposition No. 4:

1. TO REPEAL THE GENERAL STATE CONSTITUTIONAL DEBT LIMIT OF \$750,000 AND REPLACE IT WITH AUTHORITY TO INCUR DEBT FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BY A TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY VOTE OF EACH HOUSE OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY WITHIN SPECIFIED LIMITATIONS DIRECTLY RELATED TO STATE REVENUES.
2. TO PERMIT THE STATE TO CONTRACT DEBT WITHOUT LIMITATION ON AMOUNT OR PURPOSE, IN ADDITION TO THE AUTHORITY SPECIFIED ABOVE, IF THAT DEBT IS SUBMITTED TO A VOTE OF THE ELECTORS BY A THREE-FIFTHS MAJORITY VOTE OF EACH HOUSE OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND APPROVED BY A MAJORITY OF THE ELECTORS VOTING ON THE QUESTION.
3. TO REQUIRE THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO RETIRE AT LEAST 4% OF THE STATE'S INDEBTEDNESS EACH YEAR.

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Votes Yes (Percent)

Votes No (Percent)

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. #Description1978ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 1,297,846; No = 1,039,259

CA #1: To modify the procedures by which the voters  
of a county may adopt, amend, or repeal a charter form  
of county government.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

142

Vote Yes (For)

143

Vote No (Against)

144

Total Votes

145

Vote Yes (Percent)

146

Vote No (Percent)

1978ReferendaConstitutional AmendmentGeneral Election, November 7, 1978Yes = 1,281,941; No = 1,083,814

CA #2: Allows the General Assembly to regulate prison  
labor by law; removes constitutional restrictions on  
sale of prison-made goods.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

147

Vote Yes (For)

148

Vote No (Against)

149

Total Votes

150

Votes Yes (Percent)

151

Votes No (Percent)

State Ohio

REFERENDA

Var. #

Description

1978      Referenda

Constitutional Amendment

Primary Election, June 6, 1978

Yes = 10,288; No = 4,806

CA #3: To amend Section 1g of Article II of the  
Constitution of Ohio 1) To require the Ohio ballot  
board to write the ballot language for state issues  
proposed by initiative or referendum petition.  
2) To reduce the number of times initiative and  
referendum proposals must be advertised preceding the  
election. 3) To make the requirements for circulating  
and signing initiative and referendum petitions similar

Vote Yes (For) to those for petitions for

Vote No (Against) candidates.

Total Votes

Vote Yes (Percent)

Vote No (Percent)

Referenda

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

Vote Yes (For)

Vote No (Against)

Total Votes

Votes Yes (Percent)

Votes No (Percent)

152

153

154

155

156

State Ohio

## REFERENDA

Var. 0Description1979 ReferendaInitiated ActGeneral Election, November 6, 1979Yes = 772,190; No = 2,008,106

IA 1: This proposed law would; (1) Require at lease  
a ten-cent refundable deposit on all glass, metal  
or plastic soft drink, beer, and malt beverage  
containers sold in ohio for off-premises consumption.  
The refund value and the word "Ohio" would have to be  
clearly marked on each container. (2) Require  
retailers to accept returned containers marked "Ohio"

157

158

159

160

161

IA 1: of the design, size and brand sold by them and refund the customer's deposit. Beverage bottlers or distributors would have to accept the returned containers bottled or distributed by them and reimburse the retailer. (3) Prohibit the sale of beverages in metal cans that have detachable pull-tabs. Violators of this law could be fined up to seven hundred fifty dollars (\$750) or imprisoned for up to ninety (90) days, or both. If passed this law would take effect December 6, 1981.

State OHIO

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description

1981 Ballot Proposals  
 General Election, November 3, 1981  
 Yes=572,220 No=2,164,393

— To authorize the sale of work-  
 — ers compensation insurance  
 — coverage by private insurance  
 — companies.  
 —  
 —  
 —

162  
 163  
 164  
 165  
 166

Vote Yes (For)  
 Vote No (Against)  
 Total Votes  
 Vote Yes (percent)  
 Vote No (percent)

State \_\_\_\_\_

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description

1981 Ballot Proposals  
 General Election November 3, 1981  
 yes= 1,083,485 no=1,513,502

— To abolish the present methods  
 — of establishing general assem-  
 — bly and congressional dis-  
 — tricts, to create the commis-  
 — sion for reapportionment and  
 — redistricting, and to require  
 — the commission to approve the  
 — plan which is most compact.

167  
 168  
 169  
 170  
 171

Vote Yes (For)  
 Vote No (Against)  
 Total Votes  
 Vote Yes (percent)  
 Vote No (percent)

State OHIO

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1982 Ballot ProposalsGeneral Election November 2, 1982Yes = 1,827,453 No = 1,356,336

## THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT WOULD:

1. ALLOW THE STATE TO ISSUE REVENUE BONDS TO MAKE FINANCING AVAILABLE AT LOWER THAN CURRENT INTEREST RATES FOR BUYING, BUILDING, OR IMPROVING PRIVATELY OWNED MULTI-UNIT HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY AND PRIVATELY OWNED, OWNER OCCUPIED SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING;
2. ALLOW THE STATE TO MAKE LOANS TO OR THROUGH RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE LENDERS AND TO PURCHASE LOANS FROM SUCH LENDERS FOR MULTI-UNIT HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY AND SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING;
3. ALLOW THE LEGISLATURE TO PASS LAWS IMPLEMENTING THE ABOVE PROGRAM;
4. PROVIDE THAT TAX MONEY SHALL NOT BE OBLIGATED OR PLEDGED FOR THE PAYMENT OF THESE BONDS.

Vote Yes (For)

172 Vote No (Against)

173 Total Votes

174 Vote Yes (Percent)

175 Vote No (Percent)

State \_\_\_\_\_

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1982 Ballot ProposalsGeneral Election November 2, 1982Yes = 708,605 No = 2,420,593

## THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT WOULD:

1. AUTHORIZE THE OHIO RAIL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY TO DESIGN, CONSTRUCT, AND OPERATE A HIGH SPEED INTERCITY PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM ALONG THREE SPECIFIED CORRIDORS IN THE STATE, AND LEVY ADDITIONAL 1% SALES AND USE TAXES TO BE USED FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE SYSTEM;
2. REQUIRE THE AUTHORITY TO ADOPT A PLAN FOR THE SYSTEM BY JUNE 1, 1984, AND ALLOW THE AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE TRANSPORTATION SERVICES FROM LOCATIONS ANYWHERE WITHIN THE STATE TO THE HIGH SPEED CORRIDORS;
3. REQUIRE A VOTE ON THE CONTINUATION OF THE ADDITIONAL 1% SALES AND USE TAXES TWO YEARS AFTER THE SYSTEM IS COMPLETED, BUT NOT LATER THAN THE YEAR 2000;
4. PROHIBIT THE AUTHORITY FROM BORROWING MONEY OR CREATING ANY DEBT.

Vote Yes (For)

177 Vote No (Against)

178 Total Votes

179 Votes Yes (Percent)

180 Votes No (Percent)

181

State OHIO

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #Description1982 Ballot ProposalsGeneral Election November 2, 1982Yes = 1,053,274 No = 2,175,893

## THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT WOULD:

1. CHANGE THE SYSTEM OF SELECTING MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO SO THAT THEY WOULD BE ELECTED ON A NONPARTISAN BASIS RATHER THAN APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR, AND THAT CANDIDATES FOR THE OFFICE RECEIVE A FORM OF PUBLIC FINANCING FOR THEIR CAMPAIGNS;
2. GIVE THE COMMISSION THE AUTHORITY TO SUPERVISE AND REGULATE EVERY PUBLIC UTILITY (EXCEPT MUNICIPALLY OWNED UTILITIES), RAILROADS, AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION FOR HIRE WITHIN THE STATE AS MAY BE PROVIDED BY LAW;
3. PROVIDE FOR ONE COMMISSIONER TO BE ELECTED CHAIRMAN BY MAJORITY VOTE OF COMMISSION MEMBERS, AND GRANT TO THE CHAIRMAN SOLE AUTHORITY OVER THE APPOINTMENT, REMOVAL AND COMPENSATION OF COMMISSION EMPLOYEES INCLUDING SPECIAL SERVICE CONTRACTS.

182 Vote Yes (For)  
 183 Vote No (Against)  
 184 Total Votes  
 185 Vote Yes (Percent)  
 186 Vote No (Percent)

## BALLOT PROPOSALS

Var. #DescriptionBallot Proposals

Vote Yes (For)  
 Vote No (Against)  
 Total Votes  
 Vote Yes (percent)  
 Vote No (percent)

State OHIO**BALLOT PROPOSALS**

<u>Variable #</u>		<u>Description</u>
187	Vote Yes (For)	<u>Constitutional Amendment #1</u>
188	Vote No (Against)	
189	Total Votes	<u>November 8, 1983</u>
190	Vote Yes (percent)	
191	Vote No (percent)	<u>YES = 1,386,959 NO = 1,965,469</u>

**1**

**PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT**

To amend Article XV by adding a new Section 11 of the Constitution of the State of Ohio.

**PRESENT OHIO LAW PROHIBITS PERSONS UNDER TWENTY-ONE FROM PURCHASING OR CONSUMING ANY INTOXICATING LIQUOR. THE PRESENT LAW ALSO PROHIBITS PERSONS UNDER NINETEEN FROM PURCHASING OR CONSUMING BEER.**

**THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT WOULD:**

1. PROHIBIT ANYONE UNDER AGE 21 FROM CONSUMING OR POSSESSING ANY ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES.
2. PROHIBIT ANYONE FROM FURNISHING ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES TO ANYONE UNDER AGE 21.
3. INVALIDATE THE PRESENT STATUTORY LAW WHICH ALLOWS A PARENT OR LEGAL GUARDIAN TO FURNISH ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES TO A PERSON UNDER 21 YEARS.
4. DEFINE ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AS ANY BEVERAGE CONTAINING  $\frac{1}{2}$  OF 1% OR MORE ALCOHOL BY WEIGHT.
5. NOT APPLY TO SACRAMENTAL OR MEDICAL CONSUMPTION.
6. REQUIRE THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO PASS LAWS IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATING THESE SECTIONS.

A majority yes vote is necessary for passage.  
(Proposed by Initiative Petition)

**BALLOT PROPOSALS**

<u>Variable #</u>		<u>Description</u>
192	Vote Yes (For)	<u>Constitutional Amendment #2</u>
193	Vote No (Against)	
194	Total Votes	<u>November 8, 1983</u>
195	Vote Yes (percent)	
196	Vote No (percent)	<u>YES = 1,354,320 NO = 1,967,320</u>

**2**

**PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT**

To amend Article II, Section 15 of the Constitution of the State of Ohio.

**THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT WOULD REPLACE THE PRESENT CONSTITUTIONAL MAJORITY REQUIREMENT FOR THE ENACTMENT OF REVENUE RAISING BILLS IN EACH HOUSE OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY WITH A THREE-FIFTHS MAJORITY VOTE REQUIREMENT IN EACH HOUSE OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY.**

**A REVENUE RAISING BILL IS ANY BILL CONSIDERED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY THAT PROPOSES ANY CHANGE IN THE LAWS OF THIS STATE THAT INCREASES THE REVENUE DERIVED FROM ANY TAX LEVIED UPON ANY PERSON OR TAXPAYER BY ANY MEANS OR METHODS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPOSITION OF NEW TAXES, INCREASED RATES, CHANGES IN METHODS OF COMPUTATION, OR CHANGES IN DEDUCTIONS, CREDITS, EXCLUSIONS, EXEMPTIONS, OR DEFINITIONS.**

**IF ADOPTED, THIS AMENDMENT SHALL TAKE EFFECT DECEMBER 8, 1983.**

A majority yes vote is necessary for passage.  
(Proposed by Initiative Petition)



State OHIOBALLOT PROPOSALS

<u>Var.</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>Description</u>
202	1	<p><b>PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT</b></p> <p>To adopt Section 15 of Article VIII of the Constitution of the State of Ohio.</p> <p>TO ENCOURAGE GREATER USE OF OHIO COAL AND TO ALLOW THE STATE TO ISSUE BONDS TO FINANCE COAL RESEARCH, THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT WOULD:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. PERMIT THE ENACTMENT OF LEGISLATION TO PROVIDE FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS TO DISCOVER MORE ECONOMICAL, EFFICIENT, AND ENVIRONMENTALLY ACCEPTABLE USES OF COAL;</li> <li>2. AUTHORIZE THE STATE TO BORROW MONEY AND TO ISSUE BONDS AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ENABLING OHIO INDIVIDUALS, BUSINESSES IN OHIO, AND OHIO EDUCATIONAL AND SCIENTIFIC INSTITUTIONS TO ENGAGE IN SUCH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT; AND TO PERMIT THE STATE TO SHARE IN ANY ROYALTIES OR PROFITS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE STATE'S FINANCIAL PARTICIPATION IN SUCH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT;</li> <li>3. LIMIT THE TOTAL PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF BONDS AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE STATE ACCORDING TO LAWS PASSED UNDER THIS SECTION TO ONE HUNDRED MILLION DOLLARS OUTSTANDING AT ANY TIME; AND PERMIT THE FULL FAITH AND CREDIT OF THE STATE TO BE PLEDGED FOR THE REPAYMENT OF SUCH BONDS OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS ISSUED OR GUARANTEES MADE ACCORDING TO LAWS PASSED UNDER THIS SECTION.</li> </ol> <p>(Proposed by Resolution of the General Assembly of Ohio)</p> <p>A majority yes vote is necessary for passage.</p>
203		<p>1985 Ballot Proposals</p> <p>Constitutional Amendment #1</p> <p>General Election: November 5, 1985</p>
204		<p>Yes = 1,450,174 No = 810,751</p>
205		<p>Vote Yes (For)</p> <p>Vote No (Against)</p> <p>Total Votes</p>
206		<p>Vote Yes (percent)</p> <p>Vote No (percent)</p>

<u>Var.</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>Description</u>
		<p>1987 Ballot Proposals</p> <p>Const. Amndt., Proceeds of Lottery to Ed.</p> <p>General Election: November 3, 1987</p> <p>Yes = 1,984,905 No = 564,421</p>

1

To amend Section 6 of Article XV of the Constitution of the State of Ohio.

THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT WOULD REQUIRE THAT THE ENTIRE NET PROCEEDS OF THE STATE LOTTERIES BE USED SOLELY FOR THE SUPPORT OF ELEMENTARY, SECONDARY, VOCATIONAL, AND SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS AS DETERMINED BY THE OHIO GENERAL ASSEMBLY, AND WOULD ESTABLISH A SEPARATE FUND FOR THESE ENTIRE NET PROCEEDS.

207	Vote Yes (For)
208	Vote No (Against)
209	Total Votes
210	Vote Yes (Percent)
211	Vote No (percent)

State OHIO**BALLOT PROPOSALS**

<u>Variable #</u>		<u>Description</u>
212	Vote Yes (For)	<u>CA, Infrastructure Bonds</u>
213	Vote No (Against)	
214	Total Votes	<u>General Election: November 3, 1987</u>
215	Vote Yes (percent)	
216	Vote No (percent)	<u>YES = 1,674,913      NO = 689,383</u>

**2****PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT**

To adopt Section 2k of Article VIII of the Constitution of the State of Ohio.

**THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT WOULD:**

1. PERMIT THE STATE TO FINANCE OR ASSIST LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN FINANCING THE CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVEMENT OF ROADS AND BRIDGES, WASTE WATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS, WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS, SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITIES, AND STORM WATER AND SANITARY COLLECTION, STORAGE, AND TREATMENT FACILITIES THROUGH THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS.
2. LIMIT THE TOTAL PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF BONDS AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS ISSUED TO 1.2 BILLION DOLLARS. IT WOULD LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF BONDS OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS ISSUED IN ANY YEAR TO 120 MILLION DOLLARS. THESE WOULD BE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BACKED BY THE FULL FAITH AND CREDIT OF THE STATE OF OHIO.
3. REQUIRE THE USE OF OHIO PRODUCTS, SERVICES, AND LABOR TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.

If adopted, this amendment shall take immediate effect.

(Proposed by Resolution of the General Assembly of Ohio)

A majority yes vote is necessary for passage.

**BALLOT PROPOSALS**

<u>Variable #</u>		<u>Description</u>
217	Vote Yes (For)	<u>CA, Selection of Judges</u>
218	Vote No (Against)	
219	Total Votes	<u>General Election: November 3, 1987</u>
220	Vote Yes (percent)	
221	Vote No (percent)	<u>YES = 878,683      NO = 1,600,588</u>

**PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT****3**

To adopt a new Section 7 and amend Sections 6 and 13 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of Ohio.

PRESENT OHIO LAW PROVIDES FOR THE DIRECT ELECTION OF THE JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT AND JUDGES OF THE COURTS OF APPEALS.

**THIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT WOULD:**

1. CHANGE THE WAY OHIO SELECTS ITS SUPREME COURT AND APPEALS COURT JUDGES BY ABOLISHING THE DIRECT ELECTION METHOD.
2. CREATE JUDICIAL NOMINATING COMMISSIONS THAT WOULD NOMINATE THREE PERSONS FOR EACH VACANCY ON THE OHIO SUPREME COURT OR COURTS OF APPEALS. THE COMMISSIONS WOULD BE MADE UP HALF OF LAWYERS AND HALF OF NON-LAWYERS. OF THESE, NO MORE THAN HALF COULD HAVE THE SAME POLITICAL AFFILIATION. A JUDICIAL NOMINATING COMMISSION SHALL NOMINATE THE PERSONS WHO IN THE JUDGMENT OF THE COMMISSION HAVE THE HIGHEST PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS AMONG THOSE AVAILABLE. THE GOVERNOR MUST APPOINT ONE OF THE THREE NOMINEES.

3. REQUIRE PERSONS APPOINTED AS JUDGES TO RUN WITHOUT AN OPONENT IN A GENERAL ELECTION FOR RETENTION IN OFFICE IN TWO TO FOUR YEARS. A 55 PERCENT "YES" VOTE WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR RETENTION FOR A FULL SIX-YEAR TERM; LESS THAN 55 PERCENT WOULD CREATE A VACANCY IN THE OFFICE.
4. ALLOW JUDGES WHO ARE PRESENTLY SERVING EITHER BY ELECTION OR APPOINTMENT TO RUN IN THE GENERAL ELECTION WHEN THEIR CURRENT TERM EXPIRES.
5. ALLOW A MAJORITY VOTE OF THE ELECTORS OF ANY COURT DISTRICT TO APPLY THIS PROCEDURE TO THEIR TRIAL COURTS.
6. REQUIRE THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO ENACT LAWS TO IMPLEMENT THIS AMENDMENT NO LATER THAN 180 DAYS AFTER ITS EFFECTIVE



## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OH

Variable #Description:

V222	Vote Yes (For)	Constitutional Amendment			
V223	Vote No (Against)				
V224	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General	<u>Special</u>	<u>Other</u>
V225	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90			
V226	Vote No (percent)	YES = 1,705,528      NO = 1,517,466			

**1**

**TO ALLOW THE STATE AND POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS TO PROVIDE OR ASSIST IN PROVIDING HOUSING AND HOUSING ASSISTANCE BY GRANTS, LOANS, SUBSIDIES, GUARANTEES, OR OTHER MEANS AS DETERMINED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY. THE STATE MAY PROVIDE FUNDING BY ISSUING OBLIGATIONS, INCLUDING OBLIGATIONS BACKED BY APPROPRIATIONS OF STATE REVENUES, BUT THE STATE'S FULL FAITH AND CREDIT SHALL NOT BE PLEDGED TO RETIRE OBLIGATIONS ISSUED FOR THIS PURPOSE.**

## BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OH

Variable #Description:

V227	Vote Yes (For)	Constitutional Amendment			
V228	Vote No (Against)				
V229	Total Votes	<u>Primary</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General	<u>Special</u>	<u>Other</u>
V230	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90			
V231	Vote No (percent)	YES = 2,967,935      NO = 372,950			

**2**

**TO PERMIT THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO ALLOW SURVIVING SPOUSES OF PERSONS WHO WERE RECEIVING A HOMESTEAD TAX REDUCTION AT THE TIME OF DEATH TO CONTINUE RECEIVING THE REDUCTION IF THE SURVIVING SPOUSE:**

- 1. IS 60 YEARS OLD OR OLDER, AND**
- 2. CONTINUES TO LIVE IN A QUALIFYING HOMESTEAD.**

BALLOT PROPOSALS - 1990

State: OH

Variable #

Description:

V232	Vote Yes (For)	Constitutional Amendment
V233	Vote No (Against)	
V234	Total Votes	Primary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General <input type="checkbox"/> Special <input type="checkbox"/> Other
V235	Vote Yes (percent)	Date: 11/6/90
V236	Vote No (percent)	YES = 1,270,387 NO = 2,098,725

3

1. TO AUTHORIZE THE LICENSING OF A CASINO RESORT HOTEL, INCLUDING GAMES BY ELECTRONIC AND MECHANICAL DEVICES, FOR PROFIT, IN THE CITY OF LORAIN AS A PILOT PROJECT FOR A PERIOD NOT YET SPECIFIED, BUT FOR NOT LESS THAN FIVE YEARS, IF APPROVED BY THE VOTERS OF THE CITY OF LORAIN PURSUANT TO LAWS REQUIRED TO BE ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY.

2. TO ALLOW THE VOTERS OF THE CITY OF LORAIN TO VOTE ON THE CONTINUATION OF THE CASINO AFTER THE EXPIRATION OF THE PILOT PERIOD.

3. IF AFTER THREE YEARS THE LORAIN PILOT PROJECT IS DETERMINED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO BE A SUCCESS, THEN THE STATE SHALL BE DIVIDED INTO SEVEN DISTRICTS. A LICENSE TO ESTABLISH A SINGLE CASINO FACILITY IN EACH DISTRICT MAY BE ISSUED IF APPROVED BY THE VOTERS BOTH IN THE DISTRICT AND IN THE POLITICAL SUBDIVISION IN WHICH THE FACILITY WOULD BE LOCATED.

4. TO LEVY TAXES ON GROSS REVENUES OF GAMES OF CHANCE AT LICENSED CASINOS, ON THE STATE LOTTERY, AND ON HORSE-RACING WITH PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING FOR EDUCATION AND TREATMENT OF COMPULSIVE GAMBLING, AND TO LEVY TAXES ON GROSS REVENUES OF GAMES OF CHANCE AT LICENSED CASINOS FOR OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSES.